

ETH/TH/94-12

25 March 1994

Connection between Space-Time Supersymmetry and Non-Commutative Geometry

A. H. Chamseddine

Theoretische Physik, ETH, CH-8093 Zürich Switzerland

Abstract

The non-commutative geometric construction of A. Connes [1-5] has been successful in giving a geometrical interpretation of the standard model as well as some grand unification models. Our lack of ability to quantize the non-commutative actions forced on us to quantize the classical actions resulting from the non-commutative ones by adopting the usual rules. The symmetries that might be present in a non-commutative action are then lost since they are not respected by the quantization scheme. On the other hand, theories with space-time supersymmetry has many desirable properties which are well known [6]. It is then tempting to construct non-commutative actions whose classical part has space-time supersymmetry. Since all the particle physics models constructed using non-commutative geometric methods correspond to non-commutative spaces of a four-dimensional manifold times a discrete set of points, it is natural to think of extending this to a supermanifold times a discrete set of points. However, it proved that there are many mathematical issues that must be settled before this approach could become acceptable.

An alternative way is to consider supersymmetric theories in their component form. As supersymmetry transformations relate the fermionic fields to the bosonic fields and vice versa, it is possible to start with a fermionic action to recover the bosonic one. The simplest example is provided by the $N = 1$ super Yang-Mills theory in four dimensions. The action is given by [7]:

$$I = \int d^4x \left(-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}^a \gamma^\mu D_\mu \lambda^a \right), \quad (1)$$

where λ^a is a Majorana spinor in the adjoint representation of a gauge group G , $F_{\mu\nu}^a$ is the field strength of the gauge field A_μ^a and D_μ is a gauge covariant derivative. The action (1) is invariant under the supersymmetry transformations

$$\delta \lambda^a = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^a \epsilon, \quad (2)$$

$$\delta A_\mu^a = \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_\mu \lambda^a, \quad (3)$$

It is possible to derive the supersymmetric action (1) using the Noether's method by starting with the free fermionic part of (1) and the supersymmetry transformations (2) and (3).

To reformulate the action (1) using the methods of non-commutative geometry [1], we first define the triple $(\mathcal{A}, h, D)$ where h is the Hilbert space $L^2(M, \tau, \sqrt{g} d^4x) \otimes C^n$ of spinors on a four-dimensional spin manifold M , $\mathcal{A}$ is the involutive algebra $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M) \otimes M_n(C)$ of $n \times n$ matrix valued functions, and D the Dirac operator $D = \not{D} \otimes 1_n$ on h . The free part of the fermionic action is written as

$$\frac{1}{2} (\lambda, [\not{D}, \lambda]),$$

where $(,)$ denotes the scalar product on $L^2(S, \tau, \sqrt{g}d^4x)$ given by

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \int_M \sqrt{g}d^4x \tau \langle \psi_1(x), \psi_2(x) \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where τ is a normalised trace on $\mathcal{A}$, and $\langle, \rangle$ denotes the hermitian structure on the left module $\mathcal{E}$ which in this paper will be taken to be equal to $\mathcal{A}$. Let ρ be a self-adjoint element in the space $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ of one-forms:

$$\rho = \sum_i a^i db^i \quad (d1 = 0), \quad (5)$$

where $\Omega^\cdot(\mathcal{A}) = \oplus \Omega^n(\mathcal{A})$ is the universal algebra of differential forms. An involutive representation of $\Omega^\cdot(\mathcal{A})$ is provided by the map $\pi : \Omega^\cdot(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow B(h)$ defined by

$$\pi(a_0 da_1 \dots da_n) = a_0 [D, a_1] [D, a_2] \dots [D, a_n], \quad (6)$$

where $B(h)$ is the algebra of bounded operators on h . Then $\pi(\rho) = \sum a [D, b]$ is equal to $\gamma^\mu A_\mu$ where $A_\mu = \sum a \partial_\mu b$. Since ρ is self-adjoint and γ^μ is antihermitian, then $A_\mu^* = -A_\mu$. The curvature of ρ is $\theta = d\rho + \rho^2$ where $\theta \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{A})$. A simple calculation shows that

$$\pi(d\rho) = \gamma^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu + \sum \partial^\mu a \partial_\mu b, \quad (7)$$

If $\pi(\rho) \in \text{Ker}(\pi)$, then

$$\pi(d\rho) = \sum \partial^\mu a \partial_\mu b = - \sum a \partial^\mu \partial_\mu b, \quad (8)$$

is an independent scalar function. The choice of $\pi(d\rho)$ in $\pi(\Omega^2(\mathcal{A})) \setminus \pi(d\text{Ker}\pi \setminus \Omega^1(\mathcal{A}))$ is uniquely determined to be orthogonal to all auxiliary fields, with respect to the inner product on $\Omega^2(\mathcal{A})$. From this we deduce that, modulo the auxiliary field (i.e. the kernel of $\pi(d\rho)$), $\pi(\theta) = \gamma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$. The Yang-Mills action is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_w(\theta^2 D^{-4}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{g}d^4x \text{Tr}(\pi(\theta)^2) \\ &= \int \sqrt{g}d^4x \text{Tr}(-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where Tr_w is the Dixmier trace [1]. The interacting fermionic action is

$$\frac{1}{2}(\lambda, [D + \rho, \lambda]) = \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{g}d^4x \text{Tr}(\bar{\lambda} \gamma^\mu [\partial_\mu + A_\mu, \lambda]). \quad (10)$$

After analytically continuing from Euclidean to Minkowski space by the change $x_4 \rightarrow it$, the action given by the sum of (9) and (10) changes by $I_E \rightarrow -I_M$. The supersymmetry transformation for λ takes the simple form

$$\delta\lambda = -\pi(\theta)\epsilon, \quad (11)$$

while for ρ it is given by

$$\delta\pi(\rho) = \bar{\epsilon}E_a\lambda(E_a), \quad (12)$$

where E_a is a local orthonormal basis of $\Omega_D^1(\mathcal{A}) \equiv \Omega^1(\mathcal{A}) \setminus (\text{Ker}\pi + d\text{Ker}\pi)$. In our case the basis is $E^a = \gamma^a$.

Since we still do not know how to construct in the non-commutative framework the most general supersymmetric action, we shall only address the problem of finding supersymmetric theories which also correspond to non-commutative spaces, in the same sense that the standard model has such an interpretation. In reality we will show that not all supersymmetric theories do correspond to non-commutative spaces.

We next consider the $N = 2$ super Yang-Mills action [8]. It is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I = \int d^4x \Big(& -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a} + \frac{1}{2}D_\mu S^a D^\mu S^a + \frac{1}{2}D_\mu P^a D^\mu P^a + \bar{\chi}^a \gamma^\mu D_\mu \chi^a \\ & - i f^{abc} \bar{\chi}^a (S^b - i\gamma_5 P^b) \chi^c - \frac{1}{2}(f^{abc} S^b P^c)^2 \Big), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where S^a and P^a are a scalar and pseudoscalar fields, and χ^a is a Dirac spinor, all in the adjoint representation of the gauge group. The action (13) is invariant under the transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A_\mu^a &= \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_\mu \chi^a - \bar{\chi}^a \gamma_\mu \epsilon, \\ \delta P^a &= \bar{\chi}^a \gamma_5 \epsilon - \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_5 \chi^a, \\ \delta S^a &= i(\bar{\chi}^a \epsilon - \bar{\epsilon} \chi^a), \\ \delta \chi^a &= \left(-\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^a - \gamma_5 f^{abc} P^b S^c + i\gamma^\mu (D_\mu S^a - i\gamma_5 D_\mu P^a) \right) \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

From our experience with the non-commutative construction of the standard model, and since in the action (13) a complex scalar field is unified with a gauge field, an obvious guess is to take the non-commutative space to be $M_4 \times (\text{two points})$, with the algebra

$$\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M_4) \otimes M_n(C) \oplus C^\infty(M_4) \otimes M_n(C), \quad (15)$$

and the Dirac operator

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \not{D} \otimes 1_n & i\gamma_5 \otimes \phi_0 \\ -i\gamma_5 \otimes \phi_0^* & \not{D} \otimes 1_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

acting on the Hilbert space of spinors of the form

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} L\chi \\ R\chi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

where $L = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_5)$ and $R = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5)$, and χ is a Dirac spinor. Elements of $\mathcal{A}$ are taken to be operators of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ where a is a smooth function on M_4 with values in $M_n(C)$. The parameters ϕ_0 appearing in eq. (16) are taken to be arbitrary except for the constraint

$$[\phi_0, \phi_0^*] = 0. \quad (18)$$

A self-adjoint element ρ in the space $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ has the representation

$$\pi(\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^\mu A_\mu & i\gamma_5 \phi \\ -i\gamma_5 \phi^* & \gamma^\mu A_\mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

where $A_\mu = \sum a \partial_\mu b$, $\phi + \phi_0 = \sum a \phi_0 b$ and $\phi^* + \phi_0^* = \sum a \phi_0^* b$. We have assumed, without any loss in generality, that $\sum ab = 1$. The fermionic action in (13) can now be simply written as

$$\frac{1}{2}(\lambda, [D + \pi(\rho), \lambda]) = \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{g} d^4 x \text{Tr}(\bar{\lambda}[D + \pi(\rho), \lambda]). \quad (20)$$

We must now prove that the curvature square of ρ constructed with the Dirac operator (16) yields the correct bosonic part of the action (13). First we compute $\pi(d\rho) = \sum [D, a][D, b]$ which can be represented as a 2×2 matrix whose elements are

$$\pi(d\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu + X & i\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 (\partial_\mu \phi + [A_\mu, \phi_0]) \\ -i\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 (\partial_\mu \phi^* + [A_\mu, \phi_0^*]) & \gamma^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu + X' \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \sum \partial^\mu a \partial_\mu b + \phi_0 \phi^* + \phi \phi_0^* - \sum a [\phi_0 \phi_0^*, b] \\ X' &= \sum \partial^\mu a \partial_\mu b + \phi_0^* \phi + \phi^* \phi_0 - \sum a [\phi_0^* \phi_0, b] \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

If $\rho \in \text{Ker} \pi$ then $\pi(d\rho)$ will be given

$$\pi(d\rho)|_{\pi(\rho)=0} = \sum (\partial^\mu a \partial_\mu b - a [\phi_0 \phi_0^*, b]) \otimes 1_2, \quad (22)$$

where we have used the constraint eq. (18). This does not constitute any loss of generality since ϕ_0 will decouple from the final action. The curvature $\theta = d\rho + \rho^2$, after moding out by the kernel of $\pi(d\rho)$, is:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta)_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} [(\phi + \phi_0), (\phi^* + \phi_0^*)] \\ \pi(\theta)_{12} &= i\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 (\partial_\mu (\phi + \phi_0) + [A_\mu, (\phi + \phi_0)]) \\ \pi(\theta)_{21} &= -i\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 (\partial_\mu (\phi^* + \phi_0^*) + [A_\mu, (\phi^* + \phi_0^*)]) \\ \pi(\theta)_{22} &= \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} [(\phi + \phi_0), (\phi^* + \phi_0^*)]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Notice that the potential terms in $\pi(\theta)_{11}$ and $\pi(\theta)_{22}$ have opposite signs as the diagonal part of $\pi(\theta)$ has been moded out. By redefining $\phi + \phi_0 \rightarrow \phi$ one sees that ϕ_0 drops completely from eq. (23). The bosonic part of the non-commutative action is then given by

$$\frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}_w(\theta^2 D^{-4}) = \int \sqrt{g} d^4 x \text{Tr} \left(-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \phi D^\mu \phi + \frac{1}{8} ([\phi, \phi^*])^2 \right). \quad (24)$$

Continuing from Euclidean to Minkowski space and inserting $\phi = S - iP$, we exactly recover the bosonic part of the supersymmetric action (13). The supersymmetry transformations are now very simple:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\lambda &= -\pi(\theta)\epsilon \\ \delta\pi(\rho) &= (\bar{\epsilon} E_i \lambda - \bar{\lambda} E_i \epsilon) E_i, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where E_i a local orthonormal basis of $\Omega_1(\mathcal{A})$, and ϵ has the same representation as λ in eq. (17). In this case the basis can be taken to be

$$\begin{aligned} E_a &= \gamma_a \otimes 1_2 \\ E_5 &= i\gamma_5 \otimes \tau_1 \\ E_6 &= i\gamma_5 \otimes \tau_2, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where τ_1 and τ_2 are Pauli matrices. It is easy to see that the transformations in (14) agree completely with those in (25) by substituting $\chi = L\chi + R\chi$ and similarly for ϵ . The dimension of the module in this example is six, since this is the number of independent elements in the basis.

Another non-trivial example is provided by the $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills action [9]. This is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I = \int \sqrt{g} d^4 x & \left(-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a} + \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \phi_{ij}^a D^\mu \phi^{ija} + \overline{\chi^{ia}} \gamma^\mu D_\mu L \chi^{ia} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{i}{2} \overline{\chi^{ia}} \phi_{ij}^a L \chi^{ja} + \frac{i}{2} f^{abc} \overline{\chi^{ia}} \phi^{ijb} R \chi_j^c - \frac{1}{4} (f^{abc} \phi_{ij}^b \phi_{kl}^c) (f^{ade} \phi^{ijd}, \phi^{kle}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where $\tilde{\chi}_i = C \overline{\chi^i}^T$ is the conjugate spinor, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, χ^i transforms as a 4 and χ_i transforms as a $\bar{4}$ of $\text{SU}(4)$. The scalars ϕ_{ij} are self-dual, $\phi_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijkl} \phi^{kl}$, transforming as a 6 of $\text{SU}(4)$. The action (27) is invariant under the supersymmetry transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A_\mu^a &= \bar{\epsilon}_i \gamma_\mu L \chi^{ia} - \bar{\chi}^a_i \gamma_\mu L \epsilon^i \\ \delta \phi_{ij}^a &= i(\bar{\epsilon}_j R \tilde{\chi}_i^a - \bar{\epsilon}_i R \tilde{\chi}_j^a + \epsilon_{ijkl} \bar{\epsilon}^k L \chi^{la}) \\ \delta(L \chi^{ia}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^a L \tilde{\epsilon}^i + i \gamma^\mu D_\mu \phi^{ija} R \tilde{\epsilon}_j + \frac{1}{2} f^{abc} \phi^{ikb} \phi_{kj}^c L \epsilon^j \\ \delta(R \tilde{\chi}_i^a) &= -\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^a R \tilde{\epsilon}_i - i \gamma^\mu D_\mu \phi_{ij}^a L \epsilon^j + \frac{1}{2} f^{abc} \phi_{ik}^b \phi^{kjc} R \tilde{\epsilon}_j. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

In order to rewrite the free fermionic interactions of (27) in the form $\frac{1}{2}\bar{\lambda}[D, \lambda]$ for a generalized Dirac operator D we define

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} L\chi^i \\ R\tilde{\chi}_i \end{pmatrix},$$

and we take the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ to be $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M_4) \otimes M_n(C)$. The Dirac operator is

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \not{\partial} \otimes 1_4 & i\gamma_5 \otimes \phi_{0ij} \\ -i\gamma_5 \otimes \phi_0^{ij} & \not{\partial} \otimes 1_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (29)$$

where we have taken ϕ_{0ij} to be self-dual constant matrices. The Hilbert space is $L^2 \otimes \sum_{l=1}^8 M_n^l(C)$, and the involutive representation $\pi(a)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(a) = & (\pi_0(a) \oplus \pi_0(a) \oplus \pi_0(a) \oplus \pi_0(a)) \\ & \oplus (\bar{\pi}_0(a) \oplus \bar{\pi}_0(a) \oplus \bar{\pi}_0(a) \oplus \bar{\pi}_0(a)), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

so that $a \in \mathcal{A}$ has the representation

$$a \rightarrow \text{diag}(a, a, a, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a}, \bar{a}, \bar{a}), \quad (31)$$

where $\bar{a}$ denotes the complex conjugate of a . A one-form $\rho = \sum adb$ in $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ has the representation

$$\pi(\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^\mu A_\mu \otimes 1_4 & i\gamma_5 \otimes \phi_{ij} \\ -i\gamma_5 \otimes \phi^{ij} & \gamma^\mu \bar{A}_\mu \otimes 1_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (32)$$

where $\phi_{ij} + \phi_{0ij} = \sum a\phi_{0ij}\bar{b}$ is self dual, and $\phi^{ij} + \phi_0^{ij} = \sum \bar{a}\phi_0^{ij}b$. In analogy with the previous case, and after moding out by the kernel of $\pi(d\rho)$ which is diagonal, one finds that the curvature matrix has the components

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta)_{11} &= \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} \otimes \delta_i^j + \frac{1}{2}[(\phi + \phi_0), (\bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi}_0)]_i^j \\ \pi(\theta)_{12} &= i\gamma^\mu\gamma_5(\partial_\mu(\phi + \phi_0)_{ij} + [A_\mu, (\phi + \phi_0)]_{ij}) \\ \pi(\theta)_{21} &= -i\gamma^\mu\gamma_5(\partial_\mu(\phi + \phi_0)^{ij} + [A_\mu, (\phi + \phi_0)^{ij}) \\ \pi(\theta)_{22} &= \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} \otimes \delta_i^j - \frac{1}{2}[(\phi + \phi_0), (\bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi}_0)]_i^j. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

After redefining $\phi + \phi_0 \rightarrow \phi$ the bosonic action becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} I_b &= \frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}(\theta^2 D^{-4}) \\ &= \int \sqrt{g}d^4x \text{Tr}\left(-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}D_\mu\phi_{ij}D^\mu\phi^{ij} + \frac{1}{8}([\phi, \bar{\phi}][\phi, \bar{\phi}])_i^i\right). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

After analytically continuing to Minkowski space and using the identity

$$\text{Tr}([\phi, \bar{\phi}][\phi, \bar{\phi}]) = \text{Tr}([\phi, \phi][\bar{\phi}, \bar{\phi}]),$$

valid for a self-dual field ϕ , we find that the action (34) agrees completely with the bosonic part of (27). The fermionic action is expressed, as before, in the form

$$\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\lambda}, [D + \pi(\rho), \lambda]), \quad (35)$$

and this reproduces the fermionic part of (27). The supersymmetry transformations are also simplified to the form

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\lambda &= -\pi(\theta)\epsilon \\ \delta\pi(\rho) &= (\bar{\epsilon}E_A\lambda)E_A, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where ϵ has the same representation as λ and E_A is an orthonormal basis of $\Omega_D^1(\mathcal{A})$. In this case it is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_a &= \gamma_a \otimes 1_8 \\ E_{ij} &= i\gamma_5 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho^{ij} \\ \rho_{ij} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where $(\rho^{ij})_{kl} = \delta_k^i \delta_l^j - \delta_l^i \delta_k^j$ and $(\rho_{ij})_{kl} = \epsilon_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijmn}(\rho^{mn})_{kl}$. The dimension of the module is ten. It is a well known fact that $N = 2$ and $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory in four dimensions can be obtained by dimensional reduction of $N = 1$ super Yang-Mills theory in six and ten dimensions respectively [9]. For these higher dimensional theories, the fermionic action is of the form $\bar{\lambda}[\Gamma^M D_M, \lambda]$ where Γ^M are Dirac matrices in the respective dimension. It is remarkable that one can interpret the four dimensional theories as corresponding to non-commutative spaces, and where the non-commutative construction yields the same answers as the known higher dimensional theories.

It is important to determine which of the $N = 1$ supersymmetric theories correspond to actions of non-commutative spaces. A general globally $N = 1$ super Yang-Mills theory coupled to $N = 1$ supersymmetric matter is [7,6]:

$$\begin{aligned} I = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} & \left(-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}^a \gamma^\mu D_\mu \lambda^a + D_\mu z_i^* D^\mu z^i \right. \\ & \left. - (\bar{\chi}^i \gamma^\mu D_\mu \chi^i - 2i \bar{\chi}_L^i \lambda^a (T^a z)^i + \text{h.c}) - \frac{1}{2} (z_i^* (T^a z)^i + \xi^a)^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu z^i &= \partial_\mu z^i + i A_\mu^a (T^a)_i^j z^j \\ D_\mu \chi^i &= \partial_\mu \chi^i + i A_\mu^a (T^a)_i^j \chi^j \\ D_\mu \lambda^a &= \partial_\mu \lambda^a - g f^{abc} A_\mu^b \lambda^c \\ [T^a, T^b] &= i f^{abc} T^c \\ \text{Tr}(T^a T^b) &= \tau_R \delta^{ab} \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

and T^a are the generators of the gauge group G with structure constants f^{abc} and ξ^a are constants associated with the abelian generators of G . The chiral multiplet (z^i, χ_L^i) is in some representation, usually reducible, of the gauge group. This action is invariant under the supersymmetry transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta A_\mu^a &= \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_\mu \lambda^a \\ \delta \lambda^a &= -\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \epsilon + i(z^* T^a z + \xi^a) \gamma_5 \epsilon \\ \delta z^i &= 2\bar{\epsilon} \chi_L^i \\ \delta \chi_L^i &= (\gamma^\mu \epsilon_R) D_\mu z^i.\end{aligned}\tag{40}$$

In addition to the action (38), it is possible to add terms depending only on a holomorphic function of z^i , the superpotential g . These are

$$-\frac{1}{2}(g_{,ij} \bar{\chi}_i^c \chi^j + h.c) - |g_{,i}|^2.\tag{41}$$

The difficulty of generating these terms in the non-commutative construction is that in order to reproduce the fermionic term in (41) one must introduce the term $g_{,ij}$ in the Dirac operator. The bosonic part will then contain terms of the form $|D_\mu g_{,ij}|^2 = |D_\mu z^k g_{,ijk}|^2$ and $|g_{,ij} g^{*jk}|^2$ which in general do not coincide with the bosonic part of (38). We deduce that the terms in an $N = 1$ supersymmetric theory proportional to a general superpotential do not correspond to a non-commutative action. It would be very interesting to find out which special forms of the superpotential do correspond to a non-commutative construction.

To find out whether it is possible to derive the action (38) from non-commutative geometry we first define a matrix representation for the spinors λ^a and χ^i :

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{Lj}^i & \chi^i \\ \chi_j & 0 \\ \tilde{\lambda}_{Lj}^i & \chi_i^T \\ \chi^{iT} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\tag{42}$$

where $\chi_i = C \bar{\chi}^{iT}$ is the right-handed Weyl spinor conjugate to the left handed χ^i , and $\tilde{\lambda}_i^j = C \bar{\lambda}_i^j$, $\lambda_j^i = i\lambda^a (T^a)_j^i$. The reason we have to take such a complicated representation for the spinors is due to the fact that we are working with chiral multiplets which distinguishes between left-handed and right-handed spinors. The action of the Dirac operator on the Hilbert space of these spinors is

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \not{\partial} \otimes \delta_i^j & \gamma_5 z_0^i & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_5 z_{0i}^* & \not{\partial} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \not{\partial} \otimes \delta_j^i & \gamma_5 z_{0i}^{*T} \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_5 z_0^{iT} & \not{\partial} \end{pmatrix},\tag{43}$$

and where the algebra is $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M_4) \otimes (M_n(C) \oplus C)$. The representation $\pi(a)$ is given by $\pi(a) = \text{diag}(a, a', \bar{a}, \bar{a}')$ where a is an $n \times n$ matrix and a' is a function and the overline denotes complex conjugation. A one-form ρ has the representation

$$\pi(\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} A_i^j & \gamma_5 z^i & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_5 z_i^* & B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overline{A_i^j} & \gamma_5 z_i^{*T} \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_5 z^{iT} & \overline{B} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (44)$$

where $A_i^j = \sum (a \partial b)_i^j$, $B = \sum a' \partial b'$, $z^i + z_0^i = \sum (a z_0)^i b'$. We shall impose the restrictions

$$A_i^j = i A^a (T^a)_i^j, \quad B = 0, \quad (45)$$

which reduces the gauge group from $U(N) \times U(1)$ to G . Next we calculate $\pi(d\rho)$, and this can be found in complete analogy with eq (21):

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(d\rho)_{11} &= \gamma^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu (A_\nu)_j^i + z_j^* z_0^i + z_{0j}^* z^i + \sum \partial^\mu a \partial_\mu b \\ \pi(d\rho)_{22} &= \gamma^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu B_\nu + \sum \partial^\mu a' \partial_\mu b' \\ \pi(d\rho)_{12} &= \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 (\partial_\mu z^i + (A_\mu)_j^i z_0^i) \\ \pi(d\rho)_{21} &= \pi(d\rho)_{12}^*, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

and similarly for $\pi(d\rho)_{p,q}$ where $p, q = 3, 4$ with the main difference that z and z^* are exchanged. The other components of $\pi(d\rho)$ vanish. For $\rho \in \text{Ker} \pi$, $\pi(d\rho)$ is of the form

$$\pi(d\rho) = \text{diag}(X_j^i, Y, \overline{X_i^j}^T) \quad (47)$$

Since we have restricted the gauge field $(A_\mu)_j^i$ to the form (45) one would expect that a similar constraint must be imposed on X_j^i . However, it turns out that the only constraint that would result in the correct bosonic part is

$$\text{Tr}(T^a X) = 0.$$

After moding out by the kernel of $\pi(d\rho)$ and redefining $z + z_0 \rightarrow z$, we find that $\pi(\theta)$ is:

$$\pi(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\mu\nu} (F_{\mu\nu})_j^i + v_i^j & \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 D_\mu z^i & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 D_\mu z_j^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\mu\nu} (F_{\mu\nu}^*)_i^j + v_i^{jT} & \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 D_\mu z_i^{*T} \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 D_\mu z^{iT} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (48)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v_i^j &= (z^i z_j^* - z_0^i z_0^{j*})^\perp \\ (z^i z_j^*)^\perp &= \frac{1}{\tau_R} (T^a)_j^i (z^* T^a z). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

The choice of z_0 must be such that

$$\begin{aligned} (z_0^* T^a z_0) &= -\xi^a & (\text{for abelian generators}) \\ &= 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

The bosonic part of the non-commutative action becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}_w(\theta^2 D^{-4}) &= \int \sqrt{g} d^4 x \left(-\frac{1}{4} \tau_R F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + D_\mu z_i^* D^\mu z^i + \frac{1}{2} \tau_R (z_i^* (T^a z)^i + \xi^a)^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

while the fermionic action is now simply given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\Psi, [D + \pi(\rho), \Psi] \right) \quad (52)$$

After the rescaling the full action by $I \rightarrow \frac{1}{\tau_R} I$, and the fields z^i and χ^i by $z^i \rightarrow \sqrt{\tau_R} z^i$ and $\chi^i \rightarrow \sqrt{\tau_R} \chi^i$, one finds out that the non-commutative action functional which is the sum of (51) and (52), completely coincides with (38). The supersymmetry transformations, again take a very simple form

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \Psi &= -\pi(\theta) \varepsilon \\ \delta \pi(\rho) &= (\bar{\varepsilon} E_A \Psi) E_A, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_L & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_R \\ \tilde{\epsilon}_L & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\epsilon}_R \end{pmatrix}, \quad (54)$$

The E_A in (53) is an orthonormal basis of $\Omega_D^1(\mathcal{A})$, which is now given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_a &= \gamma_a \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ E_i &= i\gamma_5 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_i \\ e_i^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

and e_i is an orthonormal frame in C^n satisfying $(e_i, e_j) = \delta_j^i$.

It is possible to repeat this exercise for the general coupling of the $N = 2$ theory, and one finds again that a non-commutative description is possible. We shall not report on this here, but leave it for a future publication where a detailed account will be given.

To conclude, we have discovered that extended globally supersymmetric theories as well as $N = 1$ supersymmetric theories without superpotentials could be

derived from the non-commutative construction. This could be taken as a reinterpretation of the geometry of supersymmetric theories in the same way that the standard model admits such a non-commutative construction. We have not dealt yet with the question of whether theories where supersymmetry is spontaneously broken could be also derived from a non-commutative action functional. We can immediately say that only very special models may have this property since we are not allowed to use an arbitrary superpotential. Another interesting problem is to study whether theories with local supersymmetry (i.e. including supergravity) could be linked to non-commutative spaces. All these problems are now under study.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Jürg Fröhlich for very stimulating discussions, and Daniel Kastler for his continuing support and interest.

References

- [1] A. Connes, *Publ. Math. IHES* **62** (1983) 44; *Non-Commutative Geometry*, Academic Press (1993);
A. Connes and J. Lott, in *Proceedings of 1991 Cargèse Summer Conference*, eds. J. Fröhlich et al, Plenum Press (1992); *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **B18** (1989) 29.
- [2] M. Dubois-Violette, R. Kerner, and J. Madore, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **6** (1989) 1709; *J. Math. Phys.* **31** (1990) 316;
M. Dubois-Violette, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris* **307 I** 403 (1989);
J. Madore, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A4** (1989) 2617.
- [3] D. Kastler, *A detailed account of Alain Connes' version of the standard model in non-commutative geometry* **I, II, III**, to appear in *Rev. Math. Phys.*;
D. Kastler and M. Mebkhout *Lectures on Non-Commutative Differential Geometry*, World Scientific, to be published;
D. Kastler and T. Shücker, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **92** (1993) 522.
- [4] R. Coquereaux, G. Esposito-Farèse and G. Vaillant, *Nucl. Phys.* **B353** (1991) 689;
R. Coquereaux, G. Esposito-Farèse and F. Scheck, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A7** (1992) 6555.
- [5] A.H. Chamseddine, G. Felder and J. Fröhlich, *Phys. Lett.* **296B** (1993) 109;
Nucl. Phys. **B395** (1993) 672; *Comm. Math. Phys.* **155** (1993) 205.
- [6] For reviews and references on supersymmetry see:
J. Bagger and J. Wess, *Supersymmetry and Supergravity*, Princeton University Press, 1983;
P. West, *Introduction to Supersymmetry and Supergravity*, World Scientific, (1986).
- [7] S. Ferrara and B. Zumino, *Nucl. Phys.* **B79** (1974) 413;
A. Salam and J. Strathdee, *Phys. Rev.* **D11** (1975) 1521.
- [8] A. Salam and J. Strathdee, *Phys. Lett.* **51B** (1974) 353;
P. Fayet, *Nucl. Phys.* **B113** (1976) 135.
- [9] L. Brink, J. Schwarz and J. Scherk, *Nucl. Phys.* **B121** (1977) 77;
F. Gliozzi, J. Scherk and D. Olive, *Nucl. Phys.* **B122** (1977) 253.